

Let me say that again, one-third of all American children live in families that would receive nothing from the Republican tax cut. Nothing.

In my State of California alone, 1.7 million middle- and low-income families would not see a single cent from the expensive Republican tax plan; that is more than a third of the families in our State.

In recent months, we have heard the Republicans talk about helping children. I think it is time the Republicans put their promises to children in their budget.

The Republican budget does not fulfill their promise to leave no child behind, instead it leaves millions of children behind, behind in terms of reduced funding for childcare, reduced in terms of cuts to juvenile justice programs and behind in terms of educational dollars.

Mr. Speaker, last week the Democratic Caucus Task Force on Children, which I chair, released a report on how the President's budget blueprint shortchanges our children. The Republican budget mirrors the President's budget and is equally negative for our kids.

In fact, the Children's Task Force found that the Republican budget proposal spends so much of their tax cut that to make ends meet, the class size reduction initiative would have to be eliminated, funding for after-school programs would have to be frozen, child care for 50,000 low-income children would be cut, and \$145 million could be cut from Head Start resulting in 25,000 fewer children and their families receiving Head Start services in the year 2002. This is not acceptable.

The Republican budget could reduce funds for maternal and child health programs, as well as those that I listed before, making it harder for low-income children to have a healthy start and a healthy future.

Mr. Speaker, where is the compassion in taking money away from children and putting it into the pockets of the wealthy? Our children deserve better, Mr. Speaker.

Let us face it, in today's world, kids are lucky if they have two parents living at home with them, and if they do, chances are that both parents work outside the home. They work hard. They commute long hours, and it is our children who are being left behind. Now is the time for us to be expanding programs for children, not cutting them.

This Congress should be considering paid leave for new parents, not tax breaks for billionaires. It is time we got our priorities straight and show our children that we care about them, that we care about their future.

Our children may not vote, they may not make contributions to political campaigns, but they must be part of every single decision we make here on Capitol Hill. The Democratic Budget Alternative that I voted for would have made a smart investment in our children's future by providing reasonable tax cuts so that they are aimed at the

families who needed it the most. It would have protected Social Security and Medicare, improved school and, most importantly, paid down the national debt for the future of our children.

Mr. Speaker, the Democratic Alternative would have made good on promises to leave no child behind. And our plan would also have moved all children forward, forward toward a bright future. The bottom line is that the Republican budget's math does not add up.

Once they have subtracted \$2 trillion in tax cuts for the wealthy, the remainder is much too small to divide sufficiently among programs that matter to our children.

Children may only be 25 percent of our population, Mr. Speaker, but they are 100 percent of our future.

The fact is, America's children are America's future. This Republican budget places both at risk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. EHRLICH) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. EHRLICH addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Maine (Mr. BALDACC) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. BALDACC addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. KANJORSKI) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. KANJORSKI addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. RODRIGUEZ) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. RODRIGUEZ. Mr. Speaker, I stand here today to show my support for the community health centers and the vital services provided to the medically underserved, rural areas and the minority communities throughout this country.

Mr. Speaker, I strongly support the \$250 million budget increase for the year 2002 for the community health centers. The funding level will allow centers to expand and deliver health care services to those in need who need it most.

I would like to acknowledge the fact that President Bush pledged to provide \$3.6 billion over 5 years to build an additional 1200 community health centers. The request of a \$250 million increase will put us on the right track to meet the President's funding goals.

Mr. Speaker, I think that is something that is viable and something that we can continue to work on.

In 1999, these centers performed primary and preventive health care and dental services for more than 11 million children and adults. We have a total of 44 million uninsured Americans that lack access to health care services.

I want to talk to my colleagues briefly about that, because of the fact that these are working Americans. These are individuals that are up there, and families that are working hard in small businesses. I would attest to my colleagues if my colleagues have someone out there that is not working with a major corporation, that is not working for Federal Government or State or local government, most of those individuals do not have access to health care. They are in dreaded need.

□ 1800

They do not have enough resources to be able to purchase it. They are not poor enough to qualify for Medicaid, not old enough to qualify for Medicare. Yet they find themselves uninsured, yet working and trying to make things come together. The community service centers provide that access to them.

One in six or 4.6 million low-income children are served by the health centers. There are over 400,000 births that are delivered. Imagine how many kids we could reach out to by increasing the budget by \$250 million. This is a small price to pay for our children to have healthy bodies and strong and clean teeth.

Community health centers are critical because they provide treatment, they provide preventive care, and they provide access.

In my district back in Texas, we have five health centers with 23 sites. Yesterday I had the opportunity to meet with some of them from the Atascosa Health Center in Pleasonton, Texas, and Centro del Barrio in the south side and east side of San Antonio, and the Barrio Clinic at the Ali Austin Center. These services are continued to be provided by these centers. I want to thank them for their services.

Nearly 70 percent of those served in community health centers are minorities. One out of every 10 rural Americans is served by these centers. I represent 13 other counties, a lot of rural area; and these centers pay a very vital role in that area. Hispanics make up also close to 68 percent of my district, and many of the benefits of these centers go to that population.

As many of my colleagues know, also, we are having a real serious problem in the area of tuberculosis. My district goes all the way to the Mexican border. Almost one-third of the cases in this country are along the border, from Texas to California, in the area of tuberculosis. We know that that is a disease that we are having some real serious problems with. These centers play a very significant role in providing that treatment in that area.